SECRET

OGC 62-1430(a)

JUL 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR: Special Planning Assistant to the

Deputy Director (Support)

SUBJECT:

Proposed HQ Notice 1- , Deputy Director (Research)

This is in response to Mr. Kirkpatrick's note of 3 July 25X1 and my conversation with this morning. In view of the time limits imposed, we have not attempted to dig into the background of the problem. With that caveat, the following comments are submitted for consideration:

- (a) The terms in which the mission of the DD/R is stated, being rather precise, may invite difficulties. Why limit research "to the necessary"? What are "advanced operations"? Would not a broad, general charter imposing on the DD/R the responsibility of engaging in all research activities of the Agency, with stated exceptions, be a more flexible and meaningful document.
- (b) I presume the second and third sentences of the first paragraph are intended to draw the line between the responsibility of the DD/R and that of the DD/P, that is, at a certain stage a given operation would transfer from the DD/R to the DD/P. Under the language of the two sentences, certain operations might fall in the responsibility of neither. For example, if an operation is neither strictly scientific, nor strictly in support of human operations, it would be in this no man's land. Also, if the operation were of both types, it might fall under neither Deputy Director, or under both.
- (c) Two effective dates are made in paragraph 2 and 3 which precede the date the Notice will actually appear. This could invite trouble, legal and otherwise.

GROUP 1 declassification

Approved For Release 2003/08/05 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003200197049-6

SECRET

X1

For example, what is the effect of any travel authorisation or leave approval en any other command action involving ELINT personnel taken by the DD/I after 1 July 1962, but prior to the date the Notice appears. If the Notice is intended only to publicise decisions already taken by other documents, the language could be modified accordingly. The first sentence of paragraph 3, for example, could read:

"The Development Projects Division (DPD) of the Deputy Director (Plans) was disestablished effective 29 June."

If the purpose is to actually accomplish this disestablishment, recommend effective dates which do not precede the effective date of the Notice.

(d) It appears that the intention in paragraph 3 (sentences two and three) is to draw the line between those aspects of DPD which are transferred to the DD/R and those which remain with the DD/P. Again, a possible gap is created. Would it not be better to restate those two sentences substantially as follows:

	(e) The authority of the DD/R to establish a scientific
25X1	and technical career service appears somewhat inconsistent
	with the policy established for the Career Service in general.
	cedures and responsibilities in connection, rather than
	authorize a Deputy Director or other official to do so.

Approved For Release 2003/08/05 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003200190049-6

SECRET

	Perhaps a more coherent pattern would result if paragraph
	5 of the proposed Notice were omitted and an appropriate
25X1	amendment made to

25

Assistant General Counsel

cc: Executive Director

3

SEUMET